arXiv:1405.3649v2 [math.CA] 22 May 2014

The limit of the m-norms of a class of symmetric matrices and its applications

Haifeng Xu[*], *Binxian Yuan*, *Zuyi Zhang*, *Jiuru Zhou*

May 23, 2014

Abstract

We consider a special symmetric matrix and obtain a similar formula as the one obtained by Weyl's criterion. Some applications of the formula are given, where we give a new way to calculate the integral of $\ln\Gamma(x)$ on $[0,1]$, and we claim that one class of matrices are not Hadamard matrices.

MSC2010: 11B57, 15B34.
Keywords: Farey sequence; Weyl's criterion; Gamma function; Hadamard matrix.

1 Main result

We consider a special symmetric matrix A_n as follows:

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{1}{1}} & e^{\frac{1}{2}} & e^{\frac{1}{3}} & \cdots & e^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ e^{\frac{1}{2}} & e^{\frac{2}{2}} & e^{\frac{2}{3}} & \cdots & e^{\frac{2}{n}} \\ e^{\frac{1}{3}} & e^{\frac{2}{3}} & e^{\frac{3}{3}} & \cdots & e^{\frac{3}{n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ e^{\frac{1}{n}} & e^{\frac{2}{n}} & e^{\frac{3}{n}} & \cdots & e^{\frac{n}{n}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Let $\|A_n\|_m$ denote the m-norm of matrix A_n, then we have

Propsition 1.1.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\|A_n\|_m^m = \frac{1}{m}(e^m - 1).$$

Proof. We first prove the case when $m = 1$, the same method will apply to the general cases.

$$\|A_n\|_1 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{k} e^{\frac{j}{k}} - ne.$$

[*]This work is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), Tianyuan fund for Mathematics, No. 11126046, the Foundation of Yangzhou University 2013CXJ006, 2013CXJ001 and the University Science Research Project of Jiangsu Province (13KJB110029).

To get the result

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\|A_n\|_1 = e-1, \tag{2}$$

it is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{k}e^{\frac{j}{k}} = \frac{1}{2}(e-1).$$

Let $a_k = \frac{1}{k}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{k}e^{\frac{j}{k}}$, the left side of above equation can be written as

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}ka_k.$$

This form remind us the following result.

Claim. Suppose $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}a_n = b$, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_1+2a_2+\cdots+na_n}{n^2} = \frac{b}{2}.$$

So, if we can prove that the limit $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}\frac{1}{k}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{k}e^{\frac{j}{k}}$ exists, the question will be solved. In fact,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k}e^{\frac{j}{k}} = \int_0^1 e^x dx = e-1.$$

Thus, the equation (2) has been proved. Similarly, using the following equation

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}e^{\frac{mi}{n}} = \int_0^1 e^{mx}dx = \frac{1}{m}(e^m-1),$$

we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\|A_n\|_m^m = \frac{1}{m}(e^m-1).$$

□

By observation of the above argument, we have used the Riemann integrability of the function e^x. So for any Riemann integrable function f in $L^m[0,1]$, if we define symmetric matrix $A_{f,n}$ as following

$$A_{f,n} = \begin{pmatrix} f(1/1) & f(1/2) & f(1/3) & \cdots & f(1/n) \\ f(1/2) & f(2/2) & f(2/3) & \cdots & f(2/n) \\ f(1/3) & f(2/3) & f(3/3) & \cdots & f(3/n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ f(1/n) & f(2/n) & f(3/n) & \cdots & f(n/n) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

we will have

Propsition 1.2.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\|A_{f,n}\|_m^m=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f^m(i/n)=\int_0^1 f^m(x)dx.$$

Remark 1.3. It is worth to point out that, by Weyl's criterion[4, 9], for every Riemann integrable function f on $(0,1)$, we have [6]

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{1}{\Phi(x)}\sum_{\nu=1}^{\Phi(x)}f(\rho_\nu)=\int_0^1 f(t)dt, \tag{4}$$

where ρ_ν, $\nu=1,2,\ldots,\Phi(x)$ are elements in the Farey series $\mathcal{F}_x$ of order x which is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_x=\{\rho_\nu=\frac{b_\nu}{c_\nu}\mid 0<b_\nu\leqslant c_\nu\leqslant x,\ (b_\nu,c_\nu)=1\}.$$

$\Phi(x)$ denotes the number of terms in $\mathcal{F}_x$. It is proved that [5]

$$\Phi(x)=\sum_{n\leqslant x}\phi(n)=\frac{3}{\pi^2}x^2+O(x\log x).$$

From this, we can easily to see that the probability that two randomly- and independently-chosen integers are coprime is given by $\frac{1}{\zeta(2)}=\frac{6}{\pi^2}$. In [1, Theorem 9.5], it is proved in the view of the chosen of random integer and interpreted from the geometric point which is just the probability of two integer point can be seen directly in the lattice. By this observation, we see that Proposition 1.2 and (4) are equivalent.

2 Eigenvalues of the matrix

Let $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of the matrix A_n defined in (1), then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i=\mathrm{Tr}(A_n)=ne,\quad \det(A_n)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i.$$

Propsition 2.1.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i^2=\frac{1}{2}(e^2-1).$$

Proof. Let $B_n = A_n^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 &= \mathrm{Tr}(B_n) \\ &= e^{2\cdot 1} + e^{2\cdot\frac{1}{2}} + \cdots + e^{2\cdot\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\quad + e^{2\cdot\frac{1}{2}} + e^{2\cdot\frac{2}{2}} + \cdots + e^{2\cdot\frac{2}{n}} \\ &\quad + \cdots \\ &\quad + e^{2\cdot\frac{1}{n}} + e^{2\cdot\frac{2}{n}} + \cdots + e^{2\cdot\frac{n}{n}} \\ &= \|A_n\|_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1.1, the result follows. □

It can be generalized to the L^2 functions defined on $[0,1]$.

Propsition 2.2. *If $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix $A_{f,n}$, where f is a Riemann integrable function in $L^2[0,1]$, then we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 = \int_0^1 f^2(x)dx.$$

3 Applications

3.1 Integral of $\ln\Gamma(x)$ on $[0,1]$

Let $f(x) = \ln\Gamma(x)$, where $\Gamma(x)$ is the Gamma function. Then

$$A_{f,n} = \begin{pmatrix} \ln\Gamma(1/1) & \ln\Gamma(1/2) & \ln\Gamma(1/3) & \cdots & \ln\Gamma(1/n) \\ \ln\Gamma(1/2) & \ln\Gamma(2/2) & \ln\Gamma(2/3) & \cdots & \ln\Gamma(2/n) \\ \ln\Gamma(1/3) & \ln\Gamma(2/3) & \ln\Gamma(3/3) & \cdots & \ln\Gamma(3/n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \ln\Gamma(1/n) & \ln\Gamma(2/n) & \ln\Gamma(3/n) & \cdots & \ln\Gamma(n/n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Proposition 1.1,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \|A_{f,n}\|_1^1 = \int_0^1 \ln\Gamma(x)dx.$$

Boya [3] take a note that the right hand side is $\ln\sqrt{2\pi}$ which is an exercise in [10] and [8]. In fact it can be proved by Euler formula (5). Here we give another method to give this result, nevertheless, we still use the Euler formula of Gamma function.

We calculate the left side. Note that $\Gamma(1)=1$, $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})=\sqrt{\pi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|A_{f,n}\|_1^1 &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\ln\Gamma(\frac{j}{k})\\ &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{n}\ln\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\Gamma(\frac{j}{k})\\ &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{n}\ln\Gamma(\frac{1}{k})\Gamma(\frac{2}{k})\cdots\Gamma(\frac{k-1}{k})\end{aligned}$$

Using the Euler formula [8, Thm 16.3.6]

$$\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s)=\frac{\pi}{\sin\pi s},\quad \text{for } 0<s<1. \tag{5}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\frac{1}{k})\Gamma(\frac{2}{k})\cdots\Gamma(\frac{k-1}{k}) &= \begin{cases}\frac{\pi}{\sin\frac{\pi}{k}}\cdot\frac{\pi}{\sin\frac{2\pi}{k}}\cdots\frac{\pi}{\sin\frac{\pi(k-1)/2}{k}}, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd},\\ \frac{\pi}{\sin\frac{\pi}{k}}\cdot\frac{\pi}{\sin\frac{2\pi}{k}}\cdots\frac{\pi}{\sin\frac{\pi(k-2)/2}{k}}\cdot\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}), & \text{if } k \text{ is even},\end{cases}\\ &= \begin{cases}\frac{\pi^{(k-1)/2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{(k-1)/2}\sin\frac{j\pi}{k}}, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd},\\ \frac{\pi^{(k-1)/2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{(k-2)/2}\sin\frac{j\pi}{k}}, & \text{if } k \text{ is even},\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Note that, when k is odd, we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{(k-1)/2}\sin\frac{j\pi}{k}=\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{2}^{k-1}},$$

since we have the following Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.1 ([8], Example 8.4.6)**.**

$$2n+1=2^{2n}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\sin^2\frac{k\pi}{2n+1}.$$

Using the same method in [8, Example 8.4.6], we can prove the following identity. The proof is given in the appendix.

$$2n=2^{2n-1}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\sin^2\frac{k\pi}{2n}. \tag{6}$$

Therefore, for k even, we also have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k/2}\sin\frac{j\pi}{k}=\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{2}^{k-1}}.$$

That is, for any positive integer k,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\Gamma(\frac{j}{k})=\frac{\pi^{(k-1)/2}}{\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{2}^{k-1}}}=\frac{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{k-1}}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\|A_{f,n}\|_1^1 &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}2\ln\prod_{k=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\Gamma(\frac{j}{k})\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{2}{n^2}\ln\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{k-1}}{\sqrt{k}}\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\ln\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{(2\pi)^{k-1}}{k}\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\left[\ln(2\pi)^{n(n-1)/2}-\ln n!\right]\\ &= \ln\sqrt{2\pi}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\int_0^1\ln\Gamma(x)dx=\ln\sqrt{2\pi}.$$

Remark 3.2. There are many ways to obtain the above equation. For example, using the formula for $\Gamma(x)$

$$\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2z)=2^{2z-1}\Gamma(z)\Gamma(z+\frac{1}{2}),$$

we have

$$\ln\Gamma(2z)=(2z-1)\ln 2-\ln\sqrt{\pi}+\ln\Gamma(z)+\ln\Gamma(z+\frac{1}{2}).$$

Then take the integral on $[0,\frac{1}{2}]$,

$$\int_0^{1/2}\ln\Gamma(2z)dz=\ln 2\int_0^{1/2}(2z-1)dz-\frac{1}{2}\ln\sqrt{\pi}+\int_0^{1/2}\ln\Gamma(z)dz+\int_0^{1/2}\ln\Gamma(z+\frac{1}{2})dz$$

By changing the variables, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1\ln\Gamma(x)dx=-\frac{1}{4}\ln 2-\frac{1}{4}\ln\pi+\int_0^1\ln\Gamma(x)dx.$$

Hence, we get

$$\int_0^1\ln\Gamma(x)dx=\ln\sqrt{2\pi}.$$

3.2 Application to Hadamard matrix

Propsition 3.3. *Assume that A_n is a n-square matrix ($n = 4k$) with elements either $+1$ or -1. For n large enough, if it can be realized by a Riemann integrable function f defined on $[0, 1]$ as (3), where $|f(t)| \leqslant 1$, then it is not a Hadamard matrix.*

Proof. Suppose A_n is a Hadamard matrix. If $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are eigenvalues of A_n, then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 = n^2$ because Hadamard matrix obeys the formula $A_n \cdot A_n^T = nI_n$. By Proposition 2.2,

$$\int_0^1 f^2(t)dt = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 = 1.$$

Therefore, $f(t) = \pm 1$ almost everywhere in $[0, 1]$. From the following diagram, it is easy to see that, the numbers are sorted in the order of the projection by the dashed lines to the bottom line.



We consider the last two rows in the matrix A_n. These two rows contain $n/2$ different elements since they are orthogonal. Let

$$I_0 = \left\{ i \;\middle|\; i \leqslant n-1, \quad f(\frac{i}{n-1}) f(\frac{i}{n}) = -1 \right\},$$

then $\#I_0 \geqslant \frac{n}{2} - 1$. Consider the following division of the interval $[0, 1]$,

$$0 < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{2}{n} < \cdots < \frac{n}{n} = 1.$$

Note that $[\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i}{n-1}] \subset [\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i+1}{n}]$, for $i \in I_0$. Therefore the oscillations of function f on such intervals are equal to 2. Then, if let $M_i = \sup_{x\in[\frac{i}{n},\frac{i+1}{n}]} f(x)$ and $m_i = \inf_{x\in[\frac{i}{n},\frac{i+1}{n}]} f(x)$, we will have

$$S - s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - m_i)\frac{1}{n} \geqslant 2(\frac{n}{2} - 1)\frac{1}{n} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence the limit of $S-s$ does not equal to zero while $n \to \infty$. It is a contradiction to that f is a Riemann integrable function. □

4 appendix

Proof of the formula (6). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2nx} &= (\sinh x + \cosh x)^{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} C_{2n}^{k} (\cosh x)^k (\sinh x)^{2n-k} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} C_{2n}^{2\ell} (\cosh x)^{2\ell} (\sinh x)^{2n-2\ell} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} C_{2n}^{2\ell+1} (\cosh x)^{2\ell+1} (\sinh x)^{2n-(2\ell+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$e^{2nx} = \cosh(2nx) + \sinh(2nx).$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh(2nx) &= \cosh x \sinh x \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} C_{2n}^{2\ell+1} (\cosh x)^{2\ell} (\sinh x)^{2(n-\ell-1)} \\ &= \cosh x \sinh x \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} C_{2n}^{2\ell+1} (\sinh^2 x + 1)^{\ell} (\sinh^2 x)^{n-\ell-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sinh(\sqrt{-1}x) = \sqrt{-1}\sin x$, substitute x by $\sqrt{-1}x$ into the above formula, we see that $x = \frac{k\pi}{2n}$ are the zeros of $\sinh(2n\sqrt{-1}x)$. Thus polynomial

$$P(y) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} C_{2n}^{2\ell+1} (y+1)^{\ell} y^{n-\ell-1}$$

have the following roots

$$-\sin^2 \frac{k\pi}{2n}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

These are the only n roots of the polynomial $P(y)$. Therefore,

$$P(y) = C\prod_{k=1}^{n}(y+\sin^2\frac{k\pi}{2n}),$$

where C is the coefficient of top item of polynomial. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} C_{2n}^{2\ell+1} = C_{2n}^1 + C_{2n}^3 + \cdots + C_{2n}^{2n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\ell=0}^{2n} C_{2n}^{\ell} = 2^{2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the identity

$$C_n^1 + C_n^3 + \cdots = C_n^0 + C_n^2 + \cdots .$$

By comparing the constant item in the polynomials, we have

$$2n = 2^{2n-1}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\sin^2\frac{k\pi}{2n}.$$

References

[1] *G. A. Jones and J. M. Jones, Elementary Number Theory, Springer undergraduate mathematics series, Springer-Verlag London Limited 1998, Printed in Great Britain, 8th printing 2005..*

[2] H. L. Abbott, *Some results in combinatorial geometry.* Discrete Mathematics 9(1974), 199–204.

[3] L. J. Boya, Another relation between π, e, γ and $\zeta(n)$. Rev. R. Acad. Cien. Serie A. Mat. vol. **102**(2), 2008, pp. 199–202.

[4] H. Davenport, P. Erdös and W. J. LeVeque, *On Weyl's criterion for uniform distribution,* Michigan Math. J. 10 (1963), 311–314.

[5] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers. Sixth Edition. Oxford University Press, 2008.

[6] S. Kanemitsu and M. Yoshimoto, *Farey series and the Riemann hypothesis*, Acta Arithmetica, LXXV.4 (1996).

[7] Jichang Kuang, *Applied Inequalities*, Shandong Science and Technology Press, 2010.

[8] Jiaqiang Mei, *Mathematical Analysis*. Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2011.

[9] H. Weyl, *Uber die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins,* Math. Ann. 77 (1916), 313–352.

[10] E. Whittaker, G. Watson, Modern Analysis, Ch. 12. Cambridge U. P., 1962.

Haifeng Xu
School of Mathematical Science
Yangzhou University
Jiangsu China 225002
hfxu@yzu.edu.cn

Binxian Yuan
School of Mathematical Science
Yangzhou University
Jiangsu China 225002
yuanbinxian@gmail.com

Zuyi Zhang
Department of Mathematics
Suqian College
Jiangsu China 225002
zhangzuyi1993@hotmail.com

Jiuru Zhou
School of Mathematical Science
Yangzhou University
Jiangsu China 225002
zhoujr1982@hotmail.com